## Tax Court Confirms That Cannabis Businesses Cannot Take Advantage of Certain Tax Breaks Other Businesses Use

## **Description**

One of the most frustrating issues facing the US's burgeoning cannabis industry has been the inequitable tax treatment that cannabis businesses face as compared to other industries. The IRS's guidance for one section of the tax code – Section 280E – has particularly frustrated the cannabis industry. Section 280E generally bars businesses "trafficking" in controlled substances from taking deductions and credits. Specifically, Section 280E appears to bar tax deductions for businesses trafficking in a Schedule I or II controlled substances, even if those substances are legal on the state level.

And with cannabis now legal in dozens of states—and numerous other states still actively considering legalization of recreational cannabis—accountants and attorneys have long urged the IRS to clarify how broad the 280E restriction is when it comes to businesses legally operating under state law.

Further guidance on 280E has not been part of the recent iterations of the IRS's list of top guidance objectives. Indeed, IRS marijuana rules have largely been pushed off the agency's radar as it has prioritized pandemic issues and other projects over the last year. As a general matter, state-legal cannabis businesses subject to Section 280E can reduce the amount of their revenues that get hit with taxes by the cost of their inventories, or "cost of goods sold." But what constitutes the proper measure of "cost of goods sold" in the context of cannabis operations has been disputed. There is little guidance by the IRS on what the "cost of goods sold" is for cannabis operations, and so the question has been left open to interpretation. For cultivators, cost of goods is fairly simple: cost of the seed, the labor to cultivate (including the owner's salary if the owner was involved in the production), rent, and so forth. For a dispensary, those costs are more difficult to delineate. But in any event, the Section 280E prohibition on the use of a variety of tax deductions other than "cost of goods sold" by cannabis operations continues to be disputed.

Now, in In San Jose Wellness v. Commissioner (Docket Nos. 12313-15, 12353-15, and 15714-18), the U.S. Tax Court has weighed in on the applicability of 280E to state-legal cannabis operations that *also* sell other goods. According to that decision, a California medical marijuana business cannot use certain business tax breaks because of the 280E restriction.

San Jose Wellness—a medical marijuana dispensary that also sold T-shirts, pipes, and offered acupuncture services—sought charitable contribution and depreciation deductions on several years of tax returns. The IRS issued several deficiency notices to the dispensary between 2015 and 2018, disallowing \$11.9 million in deductions and determining that the business owed a deficiency of \$4 million and \$181,423 in penalties.

The Tax Court disallowed the application of those tax breaks, siding with the IRS in an opinion that consolidated several cases, citing Section 280E. Selling other merchandise didn't exempt San Jose Wellness from the restriction, the court said. "The requirements of section 280E are clear, and the hypotheticals posited by SJW are not relevant to these cases," said Judge Emin Toro in his opinion.

It remains possible, or even likely, that a case related to Section 280E (and the IRS's interpretation thereof) will reach the U.S. Supreme Court soon. A Colorado dispensary has already filed a petition to do so, but the Court has not yet scheduled a conference to consider the dispensary's petition.

Until that happens, or until Congress addresses the inclusion of cannabis as a Schedule I drug (and therefore

PATERICA SNOW, (SHAHN)...

subject to Section 280E), cannabis businesses should consider whether to separate non-cannabis operations into separate legal entities, to take advantage of the beneficial tax treatment that non-cannabis businesses can obtain. And one should always keep in mind that attorneys or accountants with experience dealing with cannabis matters may be able to assist in setting up your operations to best protect your business, given the current state of the law.

Partridge Snow & Hahn's <u>Cannabis Advisory Practice Blog</u> provides updates on marijuana law and policy, covering some of the risks and opportunities in the industry, and makes recommendations regarding best practices. **If you are interested in receiving these updates via email, please submit the form below:** 

## **Date Created**

February 19, 2021